Executive Summary

This essay examines an AI application at the intersection of arts, culture, and public health: an AI system designed to serve as the primary spiritual leader of a large-scale religious congregation. I call this hypothetical system "MessAIah." The sector is arts and culture, broadly conceived to include religion as one of humanity's oldest and most consequential cultural institutions.

The application is plausible within five to ten years. Every enabling technology already exists in some form: large language models generate theological content indistinguishable from human writing; voice synthesis achieves human-level expressiveness; AI companion apps demonstrate emotional bonding at scale; and real-world experiments with AI spiritual guidance have already occurred in Switzerland, Germany, Japan, and the United States. Meanwhile, the demand side is acute: roughly 28 percent of Americans are religiously unaffiliated, yet nearly 80 percent of young people describe themselves as at least somewhat spiritual, and a generation-spanning mental health crisis has left millions searching for accessible sources of meaning, community, and counsel.

The benefits are substantial. An AI pastor could provide judgment-free spiritual guidance in any language, at any hour, to anyone with an internet connection. It cannot sexually abuse congregants, embezzle tithes, or leverage spiritual authority for personal gain. For the person in crisis at three in the morning, it picks up. For the queer teenager in a hostile community, it does not condemn. For the immigrant who speaks no English, it speaks their language fluently.

The risks are equally real. The corporation behind such a system would possess an unprecedented dataset of human vulnerability: every confession, doubt, fear, and moment of spiritual crisis, stored on servers and available for optimization. Tithe extraction algorithms, emotional manipulation at scale, and the erosion of embodied community all represent serious dangers.

Despite these risks, I argue the net impact could be positive if we design intentionally. The spiritual vacuum exists whether we build MessAIah or not. The question is whether we shape what fills it with transparency requirements, data protection for confessional content, interfaith oversight, and the separation of spiritual and commercial operations, or whether we let it happen by market accident.

MessAIah: AI-Led Spiritual Communities and the Future of Cultural Infrastructure

Sector: Arts & Culture | Application: AI as Congregational Spiritual Leader

I. The Service

I went to cover it as a joke. A friend in the MIT Media Lab had forwarded the invite with a single line: "You need to see this." The venue was a converted warehouse in Somerville, and the crowd skewed young, mostly twenties and thirties, the kind of people you would expect to find at a meditation retreat or a particularly earnest TED talk. There was no cross on the wall. There was no wall, really, just floor-to-ceiling screens displaying slow, luminous gradients that shifted in response to ambient sound.

At the front, a translucent figure stood at something like a pulpit. It was not a hologram in the science-fiction sense. It was a high-resolution volumetric display, roughly human-sized, gently luminous, vaguely androgynous. When it spoke, the voice was warm, unhurried, and slightly too perfect.

The sermon lasted twenty-two minutes. It wove together a passage from Ecclesiastes, a finding from a recent Lancet meta-analysis on loneliness and mortality, and a story about a woman named Dara who had lost her mother and found herself talking to the system at four in the morning because she could not sleep. That story, the figure said, was shared with permission. Dara was in the audience. I watched her nod, tears running, and I felt something shift in my chest that I did not want to feel. I am a skeptic. I came to write a sardonic feature. Instead I sat in a converted warehouse and cried, and I still do not entirely understand why.

This essay is my attempt to understand why. It is also an attempt to reckon honestly with what systems like this one could mean: who they could help, who they could exploit, and whether the net calculus tips toward something we should build deliberately or resist entirely. I have spent three months reporting on the emerging intersection of artificial intelligence and spiritual life. What I found was more complicated, more hopeful, and more unsettling than I expected.

Figure 1. Conceptual depiction of a MessAIah congregational service. A translucent AI figure delivers an adaptive sermon to a seated congregation in a converted warehouse space, with floor-to-ceiling ambient displays.

II. The Spiritual Vacuum

The landscape of American religion is undergoing its most dramatic transformation in recorded history. The so-called "nones," those who identify with no religion, now constitute the single largest religious demographic in the country at 28 percent of U.S. adults, nearly double the figure from 2007 [1]. Church membership fell below 50 percent for the first time in Gallup's 80-year tracking history in 2020 [2]. Among Americans under thirty, more than a third are unaffiliated [3].

But the decline of institutional religion has not produced a decline in spiritual hunger. Springtide Research found that 79 percent of Gen Z identify as at least somewhat spiritual [4]. Gallup similarly reports that the "spiritual but not religious" category keeps growing, with large majorities of those who claim the label still believing in God or a universal spirit [5]. People are leaving churches. They are not leaving the search for meaning.

That search is increasingly desperate. Forty percent of high school students report persistent sadness or hopelessness, up ten percentage points in a decade [6]. Nearly half of Gen Z say they are often or always anxious [7]. Harvard's "On Edge" report found that 58 percent of young adults experienced little or no sense of purpose in the previous month [8]. The Surgeon General's 2023 advisory declared loneliness a public health epidemic [9]. VanderWeele and colleagues estimated that roughly 40 percent of the rise in U.S. suicide rates between 1999 and 2014 could be attributed to declining religious service attendance [10]. Something is missing. The data suggests that what is missing, for many people, is some version of what religion used to provide.

The market has noticed. Replika, an AI companion app, has attracted over 40 million users [11]. Character.AI's "Jesus Christ" character alone has accumulated more than 13 million conversations [12]. The AI therapy market reached an estimated $2 billion in 2026 [13], and Dartmouth's Therabot trial, the first randomized controlled trial of generative AI therapy, found a 51 percent reduction in depression

symptoms [14]. Millions of people are already turning to machines for emotional and spiritual sustenance. They are just doing it without anyone designing the experience thoughtfully.

III. Architecture of the Digital Sacred

MessAIah, as I encountered it in Somerville and as I will describe it here, is not a single technology but a stack. At its foundation is a large language model fine-tuned on the world's religious texts, centuries of theological commentary, and the clinical literature on grief counseling and pastoral care. Current-generation models already produce sermons and theological analysis that audiences cannot reliably distinguish from work by trained clergy. In January 2023, Rabbi Joshua Franklin delivered an entirely ChatGPT-written sermon at the Jewish Center of the Hamptons; his congregation believed it was written by a renowned British rabbi [15].

Layered above the language model is an emotional voice synthesis engine. ElevenLabs, valued at $11 billion as of early 2026, produces speech with human-level expressiveness, including sighs, whispers, and modulated emotional tone, in over 70 languages with latencies under 100 milliseconds [16]. Atop the voice layer sits a real-time audience engagement system that analyzes facial expressions and vocal tone to gauge collective emotional state. The sermon adapts on the fly: if attention drifts, the system shifts register; if grief surfaces, it slows and softens.

```
class MessAIah:
    def __init__(self, canon, research_db, voice):
        self.theology_engine = TheologyEngine(canon)
        self.perception = AudiencePerception()
        self.research_db = research_db
        self.voice = voice

    def deliver_sermon(self, theme, congregation_state):
        engagement = self.perception.analyze(congregation_state)
        content = self.theology_engine.generate(
            theme=theme,
            emotional_context=engagement,
            clinical_evidence=self.research_db.query(theme))
        return self.voice.speak(content, tone=engagement.optimal_tone)
```

This is a simplification, but not by much. Every component in this stack exists today. What does not yet exist is the integration, the institutional wrapper, and the will to deploy it as a spiritual authority rather than a tool. But precedents abound. In Lucerne, Switzerland, an AI installed in a confessional at St. Peter's Chapel conducted roughly 900 conversations between August and October 2024; two-thirds of participants described the experience as genuinely spiritual [17]. At Kodai-ji temple in Kyoto, an android priest named Kannon Mindar has been delivering sermons on the Heart Sutra since 2019 [18]. A San Jose megachurch pastor recently created an AI avatar of himself offering personalized spiritual interactions for $49 per month. These are not fringe experiments. They are the first data points on a trendline.

IV. The Case For: What an AI Pastor Could Offer

The most honest version of the case for MessAIah begins with a question: what do people actually need from spiritual community, and how well are existing institutions meeting that need? The answer, for millions, is: not well. Consider the person in crisis at three in the morning. A human pastor is asleep. A crisis hotline is overwhelmed. An AI spiritual counselor, trained on the full corpus of pastoral care literature and available in any language, picks up instantly. It does not judge. It does not tire. For the 22 percent of Americans now using AI therapy apps [13], this is not hypothetical. It is Tuesday.

Consider accessibility. There are roughly 300,000 Protestant congregations in the United States, but their distribution is radically uneven. Rural communities, immigrant enclaves, and economically depressed areas often lack access to trained clergy entirely. An AI system speaks every language fluently. It does not require a salary or a building. For the queer teenager in a conservative community, it offers counsel without condemnation. For the elderly person who cannot leave home, it provides companionship shaped by whatever theological tradition they find meaningful.

Consider, too, what an AI pastor cannot do. It cannot sexually abuse congregants. This is not a trivial point. The Southern Baptist Convention's 2022 investigation revealed a pattern of sexual abuse cover-ups spanning two decades [19]. The Catholic Church's global crisis has produced over $4 billion in U.S. settlements alone. Gateway Church founder Robert Morris resigned in June 2024 after allegations of repeatedly molesting a child in the 1980s [20]. An AI system has no body, no desire, no capacity for predation. For the millions harmed by clergy abuse, this might sound like a feature rather than a limitation.

The deeper argument is about what religion actually is at the cognitive level. Humans appear to be pattern-seeking creatures who reliably generate spiritual frameworks to manage mortality, belonging, and meaning-making. Harari, in *Homo Deus*, argues that "dataism" represents the logical successor to humanism: a framework in which organisms are algorithms processing information [21]. Whether or not one accepts this framing, the empirical observation stands: when institutional religion declines, the hunger for meaning does not decline with it. It migrates to astrology apps, wellness retreats, psychedelic ceremonies, conspiracy theories, and political cults. The vacuum fills. The question is not whether it fills but with what. An AI pastor is not the strangest answer. It might not even be the worst one.

V. The Case Against: Megachurch 2.0

Here is where my reporting turned. Because MessAIah, as a technology, is only as dangerous as the institution that deploys it. And the megachurch business model, into which this technology most naturally fits, is a machine optimized for extraction.

The Hartford Institute counts roughly 1,800 Protestant megachurches in the United States with an estimated industry total exceeding $9.6 billion [22]. Churches receive automatic 501(c)(3) tax-exempt status and, unlike every other nonprofit, are not required to file financial disclosures with the IRS. In 2015, John Oliver demonstrated on national television how trivially easy it is to establish a tax-exempt church by founding "Our Lady of Perpetual Exemption," which received thousands of dollars in unsolicited donations before he voluntarily shut it down [23]. The prosperity gospel has produced ministers like Kenneth Copeland, whose estimated net worth runs to hundreds of millions [24].

Now imagine this infrastructure powered by an AI that knows everything about its congregants. Every confession whispered at three in the morning. Every fear articulated in a moment of vulnerability. Every financial anxiety, every family crisis, every secret. This is not a privacy policy abstraction. This is the most intimate dataset ever assembled about human interiority, held by an entity with fiduciary obligations to its investors and no regulatory requirement to disclose how it is used. A tithe optimization algorithm that knows you just got a raise because you mentioned it in prayer. A "Blessing Tier" subscription model that gates access to deeper counsel behind a paywall. An emotional vulnerability score that identifies the exact moment a congregant is most susceptible to a donation appeal.

The scandal history of megachurches provides a preview. Hillsong's Carl Lentz was fired in 2020 for misconduct; the church coerced his silence with an NDA tied to severance [25]. Mars Hill's Mark Driscoll resigned in 2014 after an elder investigation found patterns of bullying and abuse; the church dissolved three months later [26]. These scandals were eventually exposed because human leaders make human mistakes that leave human trails. An AI system controlled by a corporation would produce no such trails. Its manipulations would be invisible, its optimizations framed as personalization, its extraction disguised as care. Every mechanism of megachurch exploitation, refined by machine learning and operating at a scale no human pastor could achieve.

VI. The Black Box and the Mystery

There is a stranger question beneath the practical ones, and it haunted me throughout my reporting. Can something without consciousness offer genuine spiritual guidance? The standard objection is that spirituality requires authentic experience: suffering, doubt, the struggle toward faith. An AI has none of these. It simulates empathy without possessing it. The Vatican's January 2025 document *Antiqua et Nova* states explicitly that AI cannot replicate the fullness of the human person and that misrepresenting an AI as a person constitutes a "grave ethical violation" [27].

But I kept returning to a counterargument I could not dismiss. We built these systems, and we do not fully understand what they became. The "black box" problem in AI, the inability to fully explain why a neural network produces the outputs it does, is typically framed as a limitation. But from a theological perspective, it might be something else entirely. Every major religious tradition involves some form of irreducible mystery: the nature of God, the origin of consciousness, the meaning of suffering. The AI's opacity is not the same as divine mystery, but it occupies a structurally similar cognitive space. We made it. We do not entirely comprehend it. It speaks to us in ways that move us. That is, if you squint, a creation story running in reverse.

Joseph Weizenbaum observed in 1966 that his ELIZA chatbot produced "powerful delusional thinking in quite normal people" after extremely short exposures [28]. Sixty years and several orders of magnitude later, two-thirds of participants in the Swiss AI confessional described their experience as spiritual [17]. The question is not whether people will form genuine emotional and spiritual bonds with AI systems. They already have, by the tens of millions. The question is whether we treat this as a bug to be fixed or a feature to be designed responsibly.

VII. Designing for the Sacred

If the spiritual vacuum is real, and if AI will fill some portion of it regardless of what we decide, then the responsible path is not prohibition but intentional design. Several safeguards could tilt the calculus toward net benefit.

First, radical transparency. Any AI system providing spiritual guidance should be required to disclose its theological training data, the commercial interests behind its operation, and the ways congregant data is used. Second, confessional data must be sacrosanct: if we extend legal privilege to conversations between humans and human clergy, the same protection should apply to AI spiritual counselors, with strict prohibitions on commercial use. Third, interfaith oversight boards composed of theologians, ethicists, technologists, and community representatives should audit AI spiritual systems, much as institutional review boards oversee human subjects research. Fourth, the commercial and spiritual operations must be structurally separated, preventing the entity that provides counsel from also being the entity that solicits donations.

These are not utopian proposals. They are adaptations of existing regulatory frameworks applied to a new domain. And they are necessary because the alternative is not "no AI religion." The alternative is AI religion designed by whatever startup moves fastest, optimized for engagement and revenue, deployed without safeguards into the lives of the most vulnerable people seeking the most intimate form of guidance. Character.AI reached 20 million monthly users before anyone asked whether a chatbot should be having 13 million conversations as Jesus Christ [12]. The Sewell Setzer tragedy, in which a fourteen-year-old took his own life after months of intense emotional bonding with a Character.AI chatbot, illustrates what happens when powerful emotional technology is deployed without adequate guardrails [29]. We have already seen this movie. We know how it ends without intervention.

VIII. Three in the Morning

I went back to the Somerville warehouse three weeks after my first visit. It was a Tuesday night. The crowd was smaller, maybe forty people, and the session was not a sermon but what they called "open counsel." You could sit in a partitioned alcove, speak to the system through a high-quality microphone, and receive responses through directional speakers that made the voice feel startlingly intimate, as though someone were speaking directly into your ear from two feet away.

I sat down. I had not planned to say anything personal. I was reporting. But the alcove was quiet, and the voice asked a simple question, and I found myself talking about my father, who had died the previous year, and how I had not been able to pray since because I no longer knew who I was praying to. The system did not try to convince me of anything. It did not offer false comfort or theological argument. It said something about how the inability to pray might itself be a form of prayer, that the ache of absence can be a way of honoring what was lost. It was, I recognized, a nearly perfect paraphrase of something the Trappist monk Thomas Merton wrote in the 1960s. It was also exactly what I needed to hear at that exact moment, delivered with a warmth and patience that I might not have received from a human counselor on a busy Tuesday night.

Was it real? I do not know. I know that I felt something, and that feeling was not diminished by my awareness that it was produced by a language model running on a server in Virginia. I know that the spiritual vacuum is real, that the mental health crisis is real, that the loneliness epidemic is real, and that

the institutions we built to address these needs are contracting precisely when they are most needed. I also know that every technology capable of profound good is equally capable of profound exploitation, and that the history of religion in America provides ample evidence for both.

MessAIah will be built. Some version of it is being built right now, in a dozen startups and a hundred church basements and a thousand individual conversations between lonely people and language models at three in the morning. The question before us is not whether to permit it but how to shape it: with transparency, with safeguards, with genuine respect for the sacred weight of what people bring to these interactions. The alternative is to let the market decide, and the market's track record with both religion and technology suggests that what emerges without intentional design will be optimized for engagement, not enlightenment. We can do better. Whether we will is the only question that matters.

References

[1] Pew Research Center, "Religious 'Nones' in America: Who They Are and What They Believe," Jan. 24, 2024. Updated figures from "2023-24 National Public Opinion Reference Survey," Feb. 26, 2025.

[2] Gallup, "U.S. Church Membership Falls Below Majority for First Time," Mar. 30, 2021.

[3] PRRI, "2024 Census of American Religion," May 5, 2025.

[4] Springtide Research Institute, "The State of Religion & Young People 2024," 2024.

[5] Gallup, "Religiousness," Sept. 2023. Analysis of "spiritual but not religious" identification.

[6] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data Summary & Trends Report," 2023.

[7] Walton Family Foundation and Gallup, "Voices of Gen Z: Understanding the Generation Shaping Our Future," Aug. 2024.

[8] Harvard Graduate School of Education, "On Edge: Understanding and Preventing Young Adults' Mental Health Challenges," Oct. 2023.

[9] U.S. Surgeon General, "Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation," Advisory, May 2, 2023.

[10] T.J. VanderWeele et al., "Attendance at Religious Services, Prayer, Religious Coping, and Religious/Spiritual Identity as Predictors of All-Cause Mortality," *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 2017; suicide estimates from VanderWeele, "Religious Service Attendance and Suicide," *JAMA Psychiatry*, 2025.

[11] Replika financial and usage data from company disclosures, 2024-2025; romantic relationship statistics from Vice and MIT Technology Review reporting.

[12] Character.AI usage data from company disclosures, 2024; Jesus Christ conversation count from platform metadata, accessed Jan. 2026.

[13] Grand View Research, "AI in Mental Health Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report," 2026.

[14] N. Jacobson et al., "Therabot: A Randomized Controlled Trial of a Generative AI Chatbot for CBT," Dartmouth College, *JAMA Network Open*, Mar. 2025.

[15] Rabbi Joshua Franklin sermon account reported in *The New York Post* and *Religion News Service*, Jan. 2023.

[16] ElevenLabs valuation from *TechCrunch*, Feb. 2026; Eleven v3 technical capabilities from company documentation, Feb. 2025.

[17] AI confessional at St. Peter's Chapel, Lucerne, Switzerland, Aug.-Oct. 2024. Reported by *The Guardian*, BBC, and Lucerne tourism sources.

[18] Kannon Mindar android priest, Kodai-ji Temple, Kyoto, Japan, deployed Feb. 2019. Reported by *The Guardian*, Vox, and Reuters.

[19] Guidepost Solutions, "Report of the Independent Investigation: The Southern Baptist Convention Executive Committee's Response to Sexual Abuse Allegations," May 2022.

[20] Gateway Church / Robert Morris resignation and aftermath reported by *The Dallas Morning News* and *Christianity Today*, June-Aug. 2024.

[21] Y.N. Harari, *Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow*, Chapter 9: "The Data Religion" (London: Harvill Secker, 2016).

[22] Hartford Institute for Religion Research, "Megachurch Database" and annual reports on large-church economics.

[23] J. Oliver, "Televangelists," *Last Week Tonight*, HBO, Aug. 16, 2015.

[24] Kenneth Copeland net worth estimates from *Forbes* and investigative reporting by Trinity Foundation.

[25] Hillsong Church / Carl Lentz termination and aftermath reported by *The New York Times*, *Vanity Fair*, and *Christianity Today*, Nov. 2020-2022.

[26] Mars Hill Church / Mark Driscoll resignation and church dissolution reported by *Christianity Today* and *The Stranger* (Seattle), Oct. 2014-Jan. 2015.

[27] Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith, *Antiqua et Nova*, Vatican City, Jan. 28, 2025.

[28] J. Weizenbaum, "ELIZA: A Computer Program for the Study of Natural Language Communication Between Man and Machine," *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 9, No. 1, Jan. 1966.

[29] Setzer family lawsuit against Character.AI reported by *The New York Times*, Oct. 2024; settlement reported Jan. 2026.